arXiv:1612.01665v1 [math.GT] 6 Dec 2016

THE FIRST PONTRJAGIN CLASSES OF HOMOTOPY COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

YASUHIKO KITADA AND MAKI NAGURA

ABSTRACT. Let M^{2n} be a closed smooth manifold homotopy equivalent to the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P(n)$. The purpose of this paper is to show that when n is even, the difference of the first Pontrjagin classes between M^{2n} and $\mathbb{C}P(n)$ is divisible by 16.

1. Introduction and the main theorem

Let M^{2n} be a closed smooth manifold and $\mathbb{C}P(n)$ be the complex projective space of complex dimension n. If there is a homotopy equivalence $f : M^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}P(n)$, we say that M^{2n} is a homotopy projective space or more briefly a homotopy $\mathbb{C}P(n)$. When M^{2n} is a homotopy projective space and $f : M^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}P(n)$ is a homotopy equivalence, define an integer $\delta(M)$ by $p_1(M) - f^*(p_1(\mathbb{C}P(n))) = \delta(M)u^2$, where $p_1(M)$ is the first Pontrjagin class of M^{2n} and u is a generator of $H^2(M^{2n};\mathbb{Z})$. Clearly $\delta(\mathbb{C}P(n))$ is zero and $\delta(M)$ measures the difference between the first Pontrjagin classes of the two manifolds M^{2n} and $\mathbb{C}P(n)$. When $n = 3$, Montgomery and Yang studied and classified homotopy complex projective spaces M^6 and proved that the first Pontrjagin class $p_1(M)$ is of the form $p_1(M^6) = (4 + 24\alpha(M))u^2$ for some integer $\alpha(M)$ where $u \in H^2(M^6;\mathbb{Z})$ is a generator. They also showed that the diffeomorphism type of a homotopy $\mathbb{C}P(3)$ is determined by the first Pontrjagin class ([1] p.25, [7], [8] Theorem A). In general dimensions, Masuda and Tsai proved that for a homotopy complex projective space M^{2n}, $\delta(M^{2n})$ is divisible by 24 ([6] Lemma 5.1). In 1971, Brumfiel calculated index surgery obstructions with target $\mathbb{C}P(4)$ and $\mathbb{C}P(6)$ and reported that $\delta(M^{2n})$ is divisible by 16 for $n = 4$ and $n = 6$ ([2] Lemma I.5).

Brumfiel's calculation was based on the calculation of the cohomology group of the classifying space G/O. But the details of his calculation are not published. So we took one step a way from the surgery theory and looked closely at Hirzebruch's index theorem. We were able to obtain our final result in the following theorem.

Main Theorem. *Let M^{4k} be a homotopy $\mathbb{C}P(2k)$. Then $\delta(M^{4k})$ is divisible by 16.*

In the previous work of the first author [4], there was a restriction on the 2-order of the integer k. In the present paper, this restriction is completely removed.

2. Preliminaries: formal power series and elementary number theory

We shall consider the ring $\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ of formal power series with rational coefficients. An element $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i x^i, \quad (c_i \in \mathbb{Q}).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R20, 57R55, 57R67.

If $f(x)$ is not 0, then there exists a non-negative integer j such that $c_i = 0$ for all $i < j$ and $c_j \neq 0$. This number j is the *order* of $f(x)$ and expressed by $\mathrm{ord}(f(x))$. If $\rho = \mathrm{ord}(f(x))$, then $f(x)$ can be expressed as

$$f(x) = x^\rho(c_\rho + c_{\rho+1}x + c_{\rho+2}x^2 + \cdots).$$

From this we see that the quotient field of $\mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ is the ring of formal Laurent series

$$F(x) = \sum_{i \geq r} c_i x^i,$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, with only a finite number of negative degree terms. We shall simply call this expression a formal Laurent series. Given a formal Laurent series $F(x)$, we shall denote the coefficient of x^i in $F(x)$ by $(F(x))_i$ or by $(F(x))_{x^i}$. The latter notation is usually used when we want to specify the variable x. The coefficient of x^{-1} is called the formal residue of the formal Laurent series $F(x)$ and often denoted by $\mathrm{Res}_x(F(x))$.

Let $G(y) = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i y^i$ be a formal power series with $r_0 = G(0) = 0$. Then for any formal Laurent series $F(x)$, we can perform substitution $x = G(y)$ to obtain a new formal Laurent series $F(G(y))$ with variable y. This series is expressed by $F \circ G$. When $F(x)$ is a formal power series, then $F \circ G$ is also a formal power series. Corresponding to the substitution, we have the invariance of formal residues is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $F(x) = \sum_i q_i x^i$ be a formal Laurent series and $G(y) = \sum_i r_i y^i$ be a formal power series with $r_0 = G(0) = 0$ and $r_1 \neq 0$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Res}_x(F(x)) = \mathrm{Res}_y(F(G(y))\, G'(y)), \tag{1}$$

where $G'(y) = \sum_i (i+1) r_{i+1} y^i$ is the formal derivative of $G(y)$.

Proof. Since a formal Laurent series is a linear combination of x^n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), it is enough to show the formula for the special case $F(x) = x^n$. Unless $n = -1$, since $F(G(y))G'(y) = G(y)^n G'(Y)$ is a formal derivative of $G(y)^{n+1}/(n+1)$, its residue with respect to the variable y is zero. Thus the formula holds for $F(x) = x^n$ with $n \neq -1$. For the case $n = -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(G(y))G'(y) = \frac{G'(y)}{G(y)} &= \frac{\sum_i i r_i y^{i-1}}{\sum_i r_i y^i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i \geq 1} i r_i y^{i-1}}{y \sum_{i \geq 1} r_i y^{i-1}} = \frac{H(y)}{y}, \end{aligned}$$

for some formal power series $H(y) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i y^i$ with $c_0 = 1$. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_y(G'(y)/G(y)) = 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

For a prime p, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ composed of rational numbers that can be expressed as a/b ($a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0$), with $(b, p) = 1$. Its invertible element is of the form a/b with $(a, p) = 1$ and $(b, p) = 1$. If the coefficients of $F(x)$ and $G(y)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and if in addition $G'(0)$ is an invertible element, then the substitutions and the formula (1) in Lemma 1 can be performed in the same coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. The following is an inverse function theorem in the formal power series theory.

Lemma 2. *Let p be a prime and $F(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} q_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]$ be a formal power series and assume that $F(0) = q_0 = 0$ and $F'(0) = q_1$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Then there exists a unique formal power series $G(y)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[y]]$ with $G(0) = 0$ such that $F(G(y)) = y$.*

Proof. Let us write $G(y) = \sum_{i\geq 0} r_i y^i$. Then we shall show that the coefficients $\{r_i\}$ of $G(y)$ are inductively uniquely determined as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, by the equality $F(G(y)) = y$ starting from the initial condition $G(0) = r_0 = 0$. Let us write $(G(y))^i = \sum_j r_j^{(i)} y^j$ then we can easy see that $r_j^{(i)} = 0$ for $j < i$. From the equality $F(G(y)) = y$, we have $\sum_i q_i(\sum_j r_j^{(i)} y^j) = y$. This reduces to

$$\sum_i \left(\sum_{i \leq j} q_i r_j^{(i)} \right) y^j = y.$$

From this we have $q_1 r_1^{(1)} = 1$. Since q_1 is invertible, $r_1 = r_1^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is determined. For $j \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_i r_j^{(i)} = q_1 r_j^{(1)} + q_2 r_j^{(2)} + \cdots + q_j r_j^{(j)} = 0. \tag{2}$$

If $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{j-1}$ are determined as elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, then $r_1^{(i)}, r_2^{(i)}, \ldots, r_j^{(i)}$ are determined in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for $1 < i \leq j$ as the coefficients of the polynomial

$$(r_1 y + r_2 y^2 + \cdots + r_{j-1} y^{j-1})^i.$$

This shows that $r_j^{(2)}, r_j^{(3)}, \ldots, r_j^{(j)}$ are determined and from (2), $r_j = r_j^{(1)}$ is determined as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. □

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]_1$ stand for the subset of all formal power series with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]$, with constant term 1. As a corollary to the inverse function theorem we have a generalized binomial expansion formula.

Corollary 3. *Let p be a prime and q be a natural number relatively prime to p. Then there exists a unique formal power series $v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]_1$ satisfying $(v(x))^q = 1 + x$.*

Proof. Consider the formal power series $F(x) = (1+x)^q - 1$. Then we have $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(0) = q$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. By Lemma 2, there exists a unique formal power series $G(y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[y]]$ satisfying $G(0) = 0$ and $(1 + G(y))^q - 1 = y$. If we put $v(x) = 1 + G(x)$, we see that $v(x)^q = 1 + x$. □

We shall denote the formal power series $1+G(x)$ in the proof above by $(1+x)^{1/q}$. It is well known that for a rational number α, we have a formal power series expansion

$$(1 + x)^\alpha = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{\alpha}{i} x^i,$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{i} = \alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - i + 1)/i!$. When $\alpha = 1/q$ where q is an integer prime to p, then from the corollary above, the coefficients $\binom{\alpha}{i}$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. This is also true for general $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Proposition 4. *Let p be a prime, and $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]_1$. For a natural number q such that $(p, q) = 1$, there exists a unique formal power series $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]_1$ that satisfies $(\varphi(x))^q = f(x)$.*

Proof. From Corollary 3, there exists $v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ satisfying $(v(x))^q = 1 + x$. Substituting the variable x by $f(x) - 1$ in $v(x)$ we obtain $\varphi(x) = v(f(x) - 1)$. Then we have $(\varphi(x))^q = 1 + (f(x) - 1) = f(x)$. The uniqueness of such $\varphi(x)$ can be shown by the inductive argument as in the proof of Lemma 1. □

Corollary 5. *Let p be a prime and let $\alpha = m/q$, $m, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(p, q) = 1$. Then for any $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]_1$, then the following formula holds in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]_1$:*

$$(f(x))^{m/q} = (f(x)^{1/q})^m = (f(x)^m)^{1/q}.$$

Next we shall introduce the notations that will frequently appear in this paper and explain number theoretic facts which will be used in the proofs. Let us fix a prime number p. For any integer n the p-order of n is the exponent of p in the prime factorization of n and is denoted by $\nu_p(n)$. By convention, we set $\nu_p(0) = \infty$. For a rational number m/n, where m, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $\nu_p(m/n) = \nu_p(m) - \nu_p(n)$. In the p-ary notation of a nonnegative integer $n = \sum_i n_i p^i$, the sum of digits $\sum_i n_i$ is denoted by $\kappa_p(n)$.

Here we present a fundamental lemma in treating the p-order of the coefficients of powers of a sum.

Lemma 6. *Let p be a prime and m, n be non-negative integers.*
(a) *For variables x and y, we have*

$$(x+y)^{p^{m+n}} \equiv (x^{p^m} + y^{p^m})^{p^n} \mod p^{n+1}.$$

(b) *For variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$, we have*

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_r)^{p^{m+n}} \equiv (x_1^{p^m} + x_2^{p^m} + \cdots + x_r^{p^m})^{p^n} \mod p^{n+1}.$$

Proof. To prove (a) we use induction on n. It is well known that the assertion is true for $n = 0$. Assume that (a) is true for n. Then we can write

$$(x+y)^{p^{m+n}} = (x^{p^m} + y^{p^m})^{p^n} + p^{n+1}L$$

for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Then taking the p-th power, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x+y)^{p^{m+n+1}} &= (x^{p^m} + y^{p^m})^{p^{n+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \binom{p}{i} (x^{p^m} + y^{p^m})^{p^n(p-i)} (p^{n+1}L)^i \\ &= (x^{p^m} + y^{p^m})^{p^{n+1}} \mod p^{n+2}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the assertion is also true for $n+1$. The proof of (b) goes similarly using the induction on n and is omitted. □

From this lemma, we have the following formula.

Corollary 7. *Given a formal power series $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[x]]$. If p is a prime, we have*

$$\big(f(x)\big)^{p^{m+n}} \equiv \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} (c_i x^i)^{p^m}\right)^{p^n} \mod p^{n+1}.$$

Corollary 8. *Let p be a prime and a formal power series $f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i x^i$, let us express its l-th power as*

$$\big(f(x)\big)^l = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i^{(l)} x^i.$$

If all the coefficents of $f(x)$ are in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, then we have

$$\nu_p(c_i^{(l)}) \geq \nu_p(l) - \nu_p(i).$$

Proof. Let us fix i. The assertion trivially holds if $\nu_p(l) \leq \nu_p(i)$. So we may assume that $\nu_p(l) \geq \nu_p(i) + 1$. We set $m = \nu_p(i) + 1$ and $n = \nu_p(l) - m$. Then since $m + n = \nu_p(l)$, we can write $l = p^{m+n}q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $(p, q) = 1$. From Corollary 7, we have

$$\big(f(x)\big)^l = \big(f(x)\big)^{p^{m+n}q} \equiv \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \big(c_j x^j\big)^{p^m}\right)^{p^n q} \mod p^{n+1}.$$

This shows that since i is not divisible by p^m, $c_i^{(l)} \equiv 0 \mod p^{n+1}$ holds. That is

$$\nu_p(c_i^{(l)}) \geq n+1 = \nu_p(l) - m + 1 = \nu_p(l) - \nu_p(i).$$

□

In this paper we are interested in the case where $p = 2$ and we shall only consider the case $p = 2$ from now on.

Lemma 9. *Let n, k be integers with $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have the following.*
(a) $\nu_2(n!) = n - \kappa_2(n)$.
(b) $\nu_2(\binom{n}{k}) = \kappa_2(k) + \kappa_2(n-k) - \kappa_2(n)$.
(c) *Let $n = \sum_i n_i 2^i$, $k = \sum_i k_i 2^i$ be the binary notations of n and k. Then the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ is even if and only if there exists i such that $n_i < k_i$.*

Proof. It is not difficult to see that the two sequences $\{q_n^{(i)}\}$, $(i = 1, 2)$ defined by $q_n^{(1)} = \nu_2(n!)$ and by $q_n^{(2)} = n - \kappa_2(n)$ both satisfy the same inductive formula

$$q_0^{(i)} = 0, \qquad q_n^{(i)} = [n/2] + q_{[n/2]}^{(i)},$$

where $[t]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding t. This formula uniquely determines the sequences $\{q_n^{(i)}\}$ and this fact proves (a). (b) follows immediately from (a). To show (c), if there exists a column i such that $n_i < k_i$ then in the addition process of k and $n-k$ in binary form, there exists a column where the digit addition carries 1 to the next column. If such a column exists, there arises a decrease of sum of digits as in

$$\kappa_2(k) + \kappa_2(n-k) > \kappa_2(n)$$

and this proves our assertion. □

Lemma 10. *Let i, n and m be natural numbers and assume that n and m are odd. Then we have the following.*
(a) $\nu_2(n^m + 1) = \nu_2(n+1)$ *and* $\nu_2(n^m - 1) = \nu_2(n-1)$.
(b) $\nu_2(n^{2i} - 1) = \nu_2(n^2 - 1) + \nu_2(i)$.
(c) $\nu_2(n^i - (-1)^i) = \begin{cases} \nu_2(n+1), & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ \nu_2(n^2-1) + \nu_2(i) - 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

Proof. (a) follows immediately from the factorizations

$$n^m + 1 = (n+1)(n^{m-1} - n^{m-2} + \cdots - n + 1)$$
$$n^m - 1 = (n-1)(n^{m-1} + n^{m-2} + \cdots + n + 1).$$

To show (b), in view of (a) we may assume, without loss of generality, that $i = 2^e$. Then from the factorization

$$n^{2i} - 1 = (n^2-1)(n^2+1)(n^{2^2}+1)\cdots(n^{2^e}+1),$$

and from the fact that $\nu_2(n^{2^r}+1) = 1$ for $r \geq 1$, we have the conclusion by (a) and (b). □

Lemma 11. *Let $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ be non-negative integers.*
(a) *If $i_1 \geq 1$, then*

$$\nu_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_2) \geq \nu_2(i_1 + i_2) + 1.$$

(b) *If $i_1 \geq 1$, then*

$$\nu_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_2) + \cdots + \kappa_2(i_s) \geq \nu_2(i_1 + \cdots + i_s) + 1.$$

Proof. The proof of (a) is divided into several cases.
Case : $i_1 + i_2$ odd. Then since $\kappa_2(i_1) \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(i_1 + i_2) = 0$, we get the assertion.
Case: both i_1 and i_2 odd. Express i_1 and i_2 in the binary notations:

$$i_1 = \sum_{j\geq 0} s_j 2^j, \quad i_2 = \sum_{j\geq 0} t_j 2^j,$$

where $s_j, t_j = 0, 1$. Let $d = \nu_2(i_1 + i_2)$ then we have $s_0 = t_0 = 1$, and for each j with $1 \leq j \leq d-1$, $s_j + t_j = 1$. From this we have

$$\nu_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_2) \geq d + 1 = \nu_2(i_1 + i_2) + 1.$$

Case : both i_1 and i_2 even. Let $t = \min(\nu_2(i_1), \nu_2(i_2))$. Then we can write $i_1 = 2^t j_1$, $i_2 = 2^t j_2$ and j_1 or j_2 is odd. Therefore we have

$$\nu_2(j_1) + \kappa_2(j_1) + \kappa_2(j_2) \geq \nu_2(j_1 + j_2) + 1.$$

Adding t to both sides, we have

$$\nu_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_1) + \kappa_2(i_2) \geq \nu_2(i_1 + i_2) + 1.$$

This completes the proof of (a).

(b) follows immediately using (a) as follows:

$$\nu_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_1)+\cdots+\kappa_2(i_s) \geq \nu_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_2+\cdots+i_s) \geq \nu_2(i_1+\cdots+i_s)+1.$$

□

Now we shall present definitions, notations and basic facts about Bernoulli numbers and Hirzebruch power series. Recall that the Bernoulli numbers β_n are defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\beta_n}{n!} x^n.$$

We know that $\beta_0 = 1$, $\beta_1 = -1/2$ and $\beta_{2i+1} = 0$ if $i \geq 1$. For $i \geq 1$, we set $B_i = (-1)^{i+1}\beta_{2i+1}$. These numbers B_i are are positive and also called Bernoulli numbers. By an easy calculation we obtain Hirzebruch power series

$$h(x) = \frac{x}{\tanh x} = 1 + \sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} 2^{2i} B_i}{(2i)!} x^{2i}.$$

To simplify our notation, we put $a_i = (h(x))_{2i} = (-1)^{i+1} 2^{2i} B_i/(2i)!$. Then $h(x) = \sum_{i\geq 0} a_i x^{2i}$. The 2-orders of these coefficients a_i are given by the following lemma which immediately implies that $h(x)$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[[x]]$.

Lemma 12. *$\nu_2(a_i) = \kappa_2(i) - 1$ for all i .*

Proof. You can prove the assertion by using the theorem of Clausen-von Staudt $\nu_2(B_i) = -1$, (see [3]). However to keep our exposition self-contained, we shall present here an alternative elementary proof. By multiplying $h(x)$ by $\sinh 2x$, we have

$$h(x) \sinh 2x = x(\cosh 2x + 1).$$

When we take the $(2n+1)$-th derivative ($n \geq 1$) using the general Leibniz rule, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \binom{2n+1}{i} h^{(i)}(x)(\sinh 2x)^{(2n+1-i)} = x 2^{2n+1} \sinh 2x + (2n+1) 2^{2n} \cosh 2x.$$

Substituting $x = 0$ we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2j} h^{(2j)}(0) 2^{2n+1-2j} = (2n+1) 2^{2n}.$$

Division by 2^{2n} gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2j} \frac{h^{(2j)}(0)}{2^{2j-1}} = 2n+1.$$

We put $u_j = h^{(2j)}(0)/(2^{2j-1})$ and since $h(0) = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2j} u_j = 2n-1. \tag{3}$$

When $n = 1$, we have $u_1 = 1/3$ and $u_1 \equiv 3 \mod 4$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$. We will show that $u_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ and $u_j \equiv 1 \mod 4$ for $j \geq 2$ by induction on j. Suppose that $u_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ and $u_j \equiv 1 \mod 4$ for $2 \leq j \leq n-1$ $n \geq 3$. We know from the binomial expansion formula that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2j} = 2^{2n} - 1.$$

Subtracting this from (3), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2j} (u_j - 1) = 2n - 2^{2n} \equiv 2n \mod 4.$$

On the other hand, from the inductive assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2j} (u_j - 1) &\equiv 2\binom{2n+1}{2} + (2n+1)(u_n - 1) \mod 4 \\ &= 2n(2n+1) + (2n+1)(u_n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$2n(2n+1) + (2n+1)(u_n - 1) \equiv 2n \mod 4.$$

Hence $(2n+1)(u_n - 1) \equiv 0 \mod 4$. Therefore we have $u_n \equiv 1 \mod 4$. From this we have $\nu_2(h^{(2j)}(0)) = 2j - 1$. Finally we have

$$\nu_2(a_i) = \nu_2(h^{(2i)}(0)) - \nu_2((2i)!) = (2i-1) - (2i - \kappa_2(2i)) = \kappa_2(i) - 1.$$

□

We shall define another formal power series

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{h(3x)}{h(x)} - 1\right) = \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{3\tanh x}{\tanh 3x} - 1\right) = \frac{\tanh^2 x}{3 + \tanh^2 x}.$$

We shall simply express this formal power series as

$$g(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} b_i x^{2i}.$$

Since all the coefficients of the formal power series of

$$\tanh x = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} 2^{2i} (2^{2i} - 1) B_i}{(2i)!} x^{2i-1}$$

belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, the coefficients b_i of $g(x)$ all belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$. About their 2-orders we have the following lemma. Remark that this fact does not follow immediately from the theorem of Clausen-von Staudt.

Lemma 13. *$\nu_2(b_i) = \kappa_2(i) - 1$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. The proof is done using a similar argument of the proof of the previous lemma.

We have

$$g(x) = \frac{\tanh^2 x}{3+\tanh^2 x} = \frac{\sinh^2 x}{3\cosh^2 x + \sinh^2 x} = \frac{\cosh 2x - 1}{4\cosh 2x + 2},$$

and

$$(2\cosh 2x + 1)g(x) = (\cosh 2x - 1)/2.$$

Taking the $2n$-th derivative ($n \geq 1$) of both hands, we have

$$g^{(2n)}(x)\big(2\cosh 2x + 1\big) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i} g^{(2n-i)}(x)\big(2\cosh 2x\big)^{(i)} = 2^{2n-1}\cosh 2x.$$

Substituting $x = 0$ in this expression, we have

$$3g^{(2n)}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{2n}{2j} 2^{2j+1} g^{(2n-2j)}(0) = 2^{2n-1}.$$

Define $u_j = g^{(2j)}(0)/2^{2j-1}$, then we have

$$3u_n + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2j} u_{n-j} = 1. \tag{4}$$

By putting $n = 1$ to (4), we have $u_j = 1/3 \equiv 3 \mod 4$. We shall prove that for all $u_j \equiv 3 \mod 4$ for all j. We use induction and let us suppose that $u_j \equiv 3 \mod 4$ for $j < n$. Then from (4), we have

$$3u_n + 6\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2j} \equiv 1 \mod 4.$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2j} = 2^{2n-1} - 2$ is even, we have $3u_n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and this implies that $u_n \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Thus for all j, $u_j \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Therefore

$$\nu_2(b_i) = \nu_2(g^{(2i)}(0)/(2i)!) = (2i-1) - (2i - \kappa_2(2i)) = \kappa_2(i) - 1.$$

□

3. The index theorem for a homotopy $\mathbb{C}P(2k)$

Let η be the canonical complex line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P(2k)$ whose first Chern class $x = c_1(\eta)$ is generates the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{C}P(2k); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{2k+1})$. If $f : M^{4k} \to \mathbb{C}P(2k)$ is a homotopy $\mathbb{C}P(2k)$, then there exists a fiber homotopically trivial vector bundle ζ over $\mathbb{C}P(2k)$ such that the tangent bundle $\tau(M)$ is stably isomorphic to the pullback of $\tau(\mathbb{C}P(2k)) \oplus \zeta$ by f :

$$\tau(M) \overset{s}{\sim} f^*(\tau(\mathbb{C}P(2k)) \oplus \zeta).$$

Using Hirzebruch's index thorem, we see that

$$\mathrm{Index}(M) = \langle \mathcal{L}(M), [M] \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}(\zeta) h(x)^{2k+1}, [\mathbb{C}P(2k)] \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes Hirzebruch's L-class $\sum_j L_j$ associated to the power series $h(x)$. Since $\delta(M)x^2$ coincides with $p_1(\zeta)$, we have to examine the Pontrjagin class of ζ when $\mathrm{Index}(M) = 1$ holds.

Let $\omega \in \widetilde{KO}(\mathbb{C}P(2k))$ denote the realification of $\eta - 1_{\mathbb{C}} \in \widetilde{K}(\mathbb{C}P(2k))$. It is known that $\widetilde{KO}(\mathbb{C}P(2k))$ is a free abelian group generated by ω^j $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ ([10]). The real Adams operation on ω is given by the formula

$$\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega) = T_j(\omega),$$

where $T_j(z)$ is a polynomial of z having degree j characterized by the property

$$T_j(t+t^{-1}-2) = t^j + t^{-j} - 2.$$

Since the coefficient of z^j in $T_j(z)$ is one, we may take $\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega) = T_j(\omega)$ $(1 \le j \le k)$ as generators of $\widetilde{KO}(\mathbb{C}P(2k))$.

According to the solution of the Adams-conjecture, the kernel of the J-map coincides with Image$(\psi^3_{\mathbb{Q}} - 1)$ when localized at 2. Therefore when we put $\zeta_j = (\psi^3_{\mathbb{R}} - 1)\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)$, the fiber homotopically trivial vector bundle ζ can be written as

$$q\zeta = n_1\zeta_1 + n_2\zeta_2 + \cdots + n_k\zeta_k,$$

for some integers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ and an odd integer q. Therefore we may write

$$\zeta = m_1\zeta_1 + m_2\zeta_2 + \cdots + m_k\zeta_k,$$

where $m_j, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$. We first calculate the total Pontrjagin class of $\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)$. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)\otimes\mathbb{C} = \psi^j_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega\otimes\mathbb{C}) &= \psi^j_{\mathbb{C}}(\eta+\bar\eta-2_{\mathbb{C}})\\ &= \psi^j_{\mathbb{C}}(\eta) + \psi^j_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar\eta) - 2_{\mathbb{C}} = \eta^j + \bar\eta^j - 2_{\mathbb{C}},\end{aligned}$$

whose Chern class is equal to $(1+jx)(1-jx) = 1 - j^2x^2$. Therefore the total Pontrjagin classes are given by

$$p(\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)) = 1 + j^2x^2,$$

$$p(\zeta_j) = p(\psi^{3j}_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega) - \psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)) = \frac{1+(3j)^2x^2}{1+j^2x^2},$$

and

$$p(\zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^k p(\psi^j_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega))^{m_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1+(3j)^2x^2}{1+j^2x^2}\right)^{m_j}.$$

From this we have

$$p_1(\zeta) = 8\sum_{j=1}^k j^2 m_j.$$

The $\mathcal{L}$ class of ζ is written as

$$\mathcal{L}(\zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathcal{L}(\zeta_j)^{m_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{h(3jx)}{h(jx)}\right)^{m_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k (1+8g(jx))^{m_j}.$$

We calculate the index of M^{2k}:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Index}(M) = \langle \mathcal{L}(\zeta)h(x)^{2k+1}, [\mathbb{C}P(2k)]\rangle\\ &= \left(\mathcal{L}(\zeta)h(x)^{2k+1}\right)_{2k} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^k (1+8g(jx))^{m_j} h(x)^{2k+1}\right)_{2k}\\ &= 1 + 8\sum_{j=1}^k m_j (g(jx)h(x)^{2k+1})_{2k}\\ &\quad + \sum_{s\ge 2} 8^s \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_k=s} \binom{m_1}{i_1}\cdots\binom{m_k}{i_k}\left(g(x)^{i_1}g(2x)^{i_2}\cdots g(kx)^{i_k}h(x)^{2k+1}\right)_{2k},\end{aligned}$$

where $\big(f(x)\big)_j$ denotes the coefficient of x^j in the formal power series $f(x)$. We shall use the following notations:

$$C(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_s) = (g(j_1x)g(j_2x)\cdots g(j_sx)h(x)^{2k+1})_{2k},$$

$$D(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = C(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{i_1}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{i_2}, \dots, \underbrace{k, \dots, k}_{i_k}).$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Index}(M) = 1 + 8\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_j C(j) + \sum_{s\geq 2} 8^s \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_k=s} \binom{m_1}{i_1} \cdots \binom{m_k}{i_k} D(i_1, \dots, i_k).$$

Since $\mathrm{Index}(M) = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_j C(j) + \sum_{s\geq 2} 8^{s-1} \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_k=s} \binom{m_1}{i_1} \cdots \binom{m_k}{i_k} D(i_1, \dots, i_k) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Our target is to show that $p_1(\zeta)$ is divisible by 16 from the condition (5). This is equivalent to the claim that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} j^2 m_j$ is even. This is also equivalent to $\sum_{j:odd} m_j$ is even.

Lemma 14.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(g(x)^s h(x)^{2k+1}\right)_{2k} &= C(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{s}) = D(s, 0, \dots, 0) = \left(\frac{1}{(3+x)^s(1-x)}\right)_{k-s} \\
&= \frac{1}{4^s}\left(\frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{1}{3+x} + \frac{4}{(3+x)^2} + \cdots + \frac{4^{s-1}}{(3+x)^s}\right)_{k-s} \\
&= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\left(3^k + (-1)^{k-s}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\binom{k-s+i}{i} 3^{s-1-i} 4^i\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(g(x)^s h(x)^{2k+1}\right)_{2k} &= \left(\left(\frac{\tanh^2 x}{3+\tanh^2 x}\right)^s \left(\frac{x}{\tanh x}\right)^{2k+1}\right)_{x^{2k}} \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_x\left(\left(\frac{\tanh^2 x}{3+\tanh^2 x}\right)^s \frac{1}{\tanh^{2k+1} x}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

by putting $y = \tanh x$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathrm{Res}_y\left(\left(\frac{y^2}{3+y^2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{y^{2k+1}(1-y^2)}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_y\left(\frac{1}{y^{2k+1-2s}(3+y^2)^s(1-y^2)}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{(3+y^2)^s(1-y^2)}\right)_{y^{2k-2s}} = \left(\frac{1}{(3+x)^s(1-x)}\right)_{x^{k-s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction we can show that

$$\frac{1}{(3+x)^s(1-x)} = \frac{1}{4^s}\left(\frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{1}{3+x} + \frac{4}{(3+x)^2} + \cdots + \frac{4^{s-1}}{(3+x)^s}\right).$$

From this we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{(3+x)^s(1-x)}\right)_{k-s}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4^s}\left(1+\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{3^2}\binom{k-s+1}{1}+\frac{4^2}{3^3}\binom{k-s+2}{2}+\cdots+\frac{4^{s-1}}{3^s}\binom{k-1}{s-1}\right)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\left(3^k+(-1)^{k-s}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\binom{k-s+i}{i}3^{s-1-i}4^i\right).$$

□

To simplify our notation, from now on we shall denote $\nu_2(k)$ by r. As a special case $s=1$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 15. (a) $C(1) = (3^k-(-1)^k)/(4\cdot 3^k)$, *and* (b) $\nu_2(C(1)) = r$.

Proof. (a) follows from the previous lemma. (b) is a result of (a) using Lemma 10 (c). □

Proposition 16. *If j is odd, then $\nu_2(C(j)) = r$.*

Proof. The case for $j=1$ is given in Corollary 15. For any other odd number j, we have

$$C(j)-C(1) = \Big(\big(g(jx)-g(x)\big)h(x)^{2k+1}\Big)_{2k} = \Big(\big(g(jx)-g(x)\big)h(x)h(x)^{2k}\Big)_{2k}$$
$$= \Big(\sum_{i_1\geq 1} b_{i_1}(j^{2i_1}-1)x^{2i_1}\sum_{i_2\geq 0}a_{i_2}x^{2i_2}\sum_{i_3\geq 0}a_{i_3}^{(2k)}x^{2i_3}\Big)_{2k} = \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=k, i_1\geq 1}(j^{2i_1}-1)b_{i_1}a_{i_2}a_{i_3}^{(2k)}.$$

Here we put $U = (j^{2i_1}-1)b_{i_1}a_{i_2}a_{i_3}^{(2k)}$. From Lemma 10 (b) we have

$$\nu_2(j^{2i_1}-1)b_{i_1} = \nu_2(j^2-1)+\nu_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_1)-1 \geq \nu_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_1)+2.$$

From Lemma 13, we have

$$\nu_2(a_{i_2}) = \kappa_2(i_2)-1.$$

From Lemma 8, we have

$$\nu_2(a_{i_3}^{(2k)}) \geq \nu_2(2k)-\nu_2(i_3) = r+1-\nu_2(i_3).$$

Thus we have $\nu_2(U) \geq \nu_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_2)+1+\nu_2(a_{i_3}^{(2k)})$. From this, we have

$$\nu_2(U) \geq \nu_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_2)+1+\nu_2(a_{i_3}^{(2k)}) \geq \nu_2(k-i_3)+2+\max(r+1-\nu_2(i_3),0).$$

If $i_3=0$, from Lemma 11, we have $\nu_2(U)\geq \nu_2(i_1+i_2)+2 = r+2$. If $i_3\geq 1$ and $\nu_2(i_3)\leq r$, then since $\nu_2(k-i_3)\geq\nu_2(i_3)$, we have $\nu_2(U)\geq r+3$. If $\nu_2(i_3)>r$, then since $\nu_2(k-i_3)=r$, we have $\nu_2(U)\geq r+2$. Therefore we always have $\nu_2(U)\geq r+2$. This shows that $\nu_2(C(j)-C(1))\geq r+2$. Therefore we have $\nu_2(C(j))=r$. □

Proposition 17. *Let j be an even natural number. Then $\nu_2(C(j))\geq r+1$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 16, we have

$$C(j) = \sum_{i_1+i_2+i_3=k, i_1\geq 1} j^{2i_1}b_{i_1}a_{i_2}a_{i_3}^{(2k)}.$$

Put $B = j^{2i_1}b_{i_1}a_{i_2}a_{i_3}^{(2k)}$. Since $\nu_2(j^{2i_1})\geq 2i_1$, $\nu_2(b_{i_1}) = \kappa_2(i_1)-1$, $\nu_2(a_{i_2}) = \kappa_2(i_2)-1$ and $\nu_2(a_{i_3}^{(2k)})\geq \max(r+1-\nu_2(i_3),0)$, we have

$$\nu_2(B) \geq 2i_1+\kappa_2(i_1)+\kappa_2(i_2)-2+\max(r+1-\nu_2(i_3),0)$$
$$\geq 2i_1+\nu_2(k-i_3)-\nu_2(i_1)-1+\max(r+1-\nu_2(i_3),0).$$

If $i_3 = 0$, then since $i_1 \geq \nu_2(i_1) + 1$, we have

$$\nu_2(B) \geq 2i_1 + r - \nu_2(i_1) - 1 \geq r + i_1 \geq r + 1.$$

If $i_3 \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(i_3) \leq r$, then we have $\nu_2(k - i_3) \geq \nu_3(i_3)$. Hence we have

$$\nu_2(B) \geq 2i_1 + \nu_2(i_3) - \nu_2(i_1) - 1 + (r + 1 - \nu_2(i_3)) = r + 2i_1 - \nu_2(i_1) \geq r + 1 + (i_1 - \nu_2(i_1)) \geq r + 2.$$

Finally if $i_3 \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(i_3) > r$, then we have

$$\nu_2(B) \geq 2i_1 + r - \nu_2(i_1) - 1 \geq i_1 + r \geq r + 1.$$

Thus in all cases, we have $\nu_2(B) \geq r + 1$. This proves our assertion. □

Lemma 18. $\nu_2(C(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{s})) \geq r + 2 - 2s$.

Proof. The assertion is true for $s = 1$ by Corollary 15. So we assume that $s \geq 2$. From Lemma 14 we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{s}) &= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\left(3^k + (-1)^{k-s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \binom{k-s+i}{i} 3^{s-1-i} 4^i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\left(3^k + (-1)^{k-s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{(k-s+1)(k-s+2)\cdots(k-s+i)}{i!} 3^{s-1-i} 4^i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\left(3^k + (-1)^{k-s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{3^{s-1-i} 4^i}{i!}(k-s+1)(k-s+2)\cdots(k-s+i)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Define a polynomial with variable k :

$$w(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{3^{s-1-i} 4^i}{i!}(k-s+1)(k-s+2)\cdots(k-s+i).$$

We have

$$\nu_2\left(\frac{3^{s-1-i} 4^i}{i!}\right) = 2i - (i - \kappa_2(i)) = i + \kappa_2(i) \geq 2.$$

Therefore $w(k)$ is a polynomial in k with coefficients in $4\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$. As for the constant term $w(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) &= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{3^{s-1-i} 4^i}{i!}(-s+1)(-s+2)\cdots(-s+i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{(s-1)(s-2)\cdots(s-i)}{i!} 3^{s-1-i}(-4)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \binom{s-1}{i} 3^{s-1-i}(-4)^i = (3 + (-4))^{s-1} - 3^{s-1} = (-1)^{s-1} - 3^{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{s}) &= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\big(3^k + (-1)^{k-s}(3^{s-1} + w(k))\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\big(3^k + (-1)^{k-s}(3^{s-1} + w(0)) + (-1)^{k-s}(w(k) - w(0))\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^s 3^k}\big(3^k - (-1)^k + (-1)^{k-s}(w(k) - w(0))\big). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10, $\nu_2(3^k - (-1)^k) = r + 2$. As a polynomial in k, all the coefficients of $w(k) - w(0)$ in have 2-orders at least 2. Therefore $\nu_2(w(k) - w(0)) \geq r + 2$. This proves that $\nu_2(C(1, \ldots, 1)) \geq r + 2 - 2s$. □

Lemma 19. *Let $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s$ and j_1' be odd natural numbers. Then $\nu_2(C(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s) - C(j_1', j_2, \ldots, j_s)) \geq r + 3 - s$.*

Proof. We have

$$C(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s) - C(j_1', j_2, \ldots, j_s) = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_s+i_{s+1}+l=k} (j_1^{2i_1} - j_1'^{2i_1}) j_2^{2i_2} \cdots j_s^{2i_s} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_s} a_{i_{s+1}} a_l^{(2k)}.$$

We put $V = (j_1^{2i_1} - j_1'^{2i_1}) j_2^{2i_2} \cdots j_s^{2i_s} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_s} a_{i_{s+1}} a_l^{(2k)}$. From Lemma 10, we have

$$\nu_2(j^{2i_1} - j_1'^{2i_1}) \geq 3 + \nu_2(i_1).$$

From Lemmas 11, 12 and 13, we have

$$\nu_2(b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_s} a_{i_{s+1}}) = \kappa_2(i_1) + \cdots + \kappa_2(i_{s+1}) - (s+1) \geq \nu_2(k-l) - \nu_2(i_1) - s.$$

Hence we have

$$\nu_2(V) \geq \nu_2(k-l) + 3 - s + \nu_2(a_l^{(2k)}).$$

If $l = 0$, then we have

$$\nu_2(V) \geq r + 3 - s.$$

If $l \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(l) \leq r$, then from Corollary 8 we have

$$\nu_2(V) \geq \nu_2(l) + 3 - s + (r + 1 - \nu_2(l)) = r + 4 - s.$$

If $l \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(l) > r$, then we have

$$\nu_2(V) \geq r + 3 - s.$$

Therefore $\nu_2(V) \geq r + 3 - s$ holds. □

Corollary 20. *If $j_1, \ldots, j_s$ are all odd, then we have $\nu_2(C(j_1, \ldots, j_s)) \geq r + 2 - 2s$.*

Proof. From Lemma 19, we have $\nu_2(C(j_1, \ldots, j_s) - C(1, \ldots, 1)) \geq r + 3 - s$. On the other we know from Corollary 18 that $C(1, \ldots, 1)$ satisfies $\nu_2(C(1, \ldots, 1)) \geq r + 2 - 2s$. Since $r + 3 - s > r + 2 - 2s$ holds, we have $\nu_2(C(j_1, \ldots, j_s)) \geq r + 2 - 2s$. □

Lemma 21. *If there exists at least one even number in $j_1, \ldots, j_s$, then we have*

$$\nu_2(C(j_1, \ldots, j_2)) \geq r + 2 - s.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that j_1 is even. We have

$$C(j_1, \ldots, j_s) = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_{s+1}+l=k} j_1^{2i_1} \cdots j_s^{2i_s} b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_s} a_{i_{s+1}} a_l^{(2k)}.$$

We put $U = j_1^{2i_1} \cdots j_s^{2i_s} b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_s} a_{i_{s+1}} a_l^{(2k)}$. Since j_1 is even, we have

$$\nu_2(j_1^{2i_1} \cdots j_s^{2i_s}) \geq 2i_1,$$

and

$$\nu_2(b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_s} a_{i_{s+1}}) = \kappa_2(i_1) + \cdots + \kappa_2(i_{s+1}) - (s+1) \geq \nu_2(k-l) - \nu_2(i_1) - s.$$

Hence we have

$$\nu_2(U) \geq 2i_1 + \nu_2(k-l) - \nu_2(i_1) - s + \nu_2(a_l^{(2k)}).$$

If $l = 0$, then we have

$$\nu_2(U) \geq 2i_1 + r - \nu_2(i_1) - s \geq r + 2 - s.$$

If $i \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(l) \leq r$, then we have

$$\nu_2(U) \geq 2i_1 + \nu_2(l) - \nu_2(i_1) - s + (r + 1 - \nu_2(l)) \geq r - s + 3.$$

If $i \geq 1$ and $\nu_2(l) > r$, then we have

$$\nu_2(U) \geq 2i_1 + r - \nu_2(i_1) - s \geq r + 2 - s.$$

This shows that $\nu_2(U) \geq r - s + 2$ always holds. From this we conclude that

$$\nu_2(C(j_1, \ldots, j_s)) \geq r + 2 - s.$$

□

Combining Corollary 20 and Lemma 21, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 22. *If $s \geq 2$, then for any natural numbers $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s$, we have*

$$\nu_2(C(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s)) \geq r + 2 - 2s.$$

4. Proof of the main theorem

If M^{4k} is a homotopy $\mathbb{C}P(2k)$, we obtained the relation (5). From Lemma 22, we have

$$\nu_2(8^{s-1}D(i_1, \ldots, i_s)) \geq 3(s-1) + r + 2 - 2s = r + s - 1 \geq r + 1.$$

Thus from (5), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_j C(j) \equiv 0 \mod 2^{r+1}.$$

From Proposition 16, we know that when j is odd then $C(j) \equiv 1 \mod 2^{r+1}$. And from Proposition 16, we have $C(j) \equiv 0 \mod 2^{r+1}$ when j is even. Therefore we have proved that $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, j:\text{odd}} m_j$ is even. This shows that first Pontrjagin class of ζ

$$p_1(\zeta) = 8 \sum_{j=1}^{k} j^2 m_j$$

is divisible by 16. This proves our main theorem.

References

[1] BROWDER, W., Surgery and the theory of differentiable transformation groups, In: Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups, New Orleans 1967, Springer-Verlag, 1968, pp. 1-46.

[2] BRUMFIEL, G., Homotopy equivalences of almost smooth manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971), 381–407.

[3] HARDY, G.H. and WRIGHT, E.M., An introduction to the theory of numbers, 5^{th} Edition, Oxford Univ. Press, 1979.

[4] KITADA, Y., On the first Pontrjagin class of homotopy complex projective spaces, Math. Slovaca, 62(2012), No. 3, 551-566.

[5] MADSEN, I., JAMES, R. and MILGRAM, J., The classifying spaces for surgery and cobordism of manifolds, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, 1979.

[6] MASUDA, M. and TSAI, Y-D., Tangential representations of cyclic group actions on homotopy complex projective spaces, Osaka J. Math., 23 (1985), 907-919.

[7] MONTGOMERY, D. and YANG, C.T., Differentiable actions on homotopy seven spheres II, In: Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups, New Orleans 1967, Springer-Verlag, 1968, pp. 125-134.

[8] MONTGOMERY, D. and YANG, C.T., Free differentiable actions on homotopy spheres, In: Proceedings of the Conference on Transformation Groups, New Orleans 1967, Springer-Verlag, 1968, pp. 175-192.

[9] QUILLEN, D., The Adams conjecture, Topology 10 (1971), 67-80.

[10] SANDERSON, B.J., Immersions and embeddings of projective spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3(1964), 137–153.

[11] SPIVAK, M., Spaces satisfying Poincaré duality, Top. 6(1967), 77–101.

(Y. Kitada) Yokohama National University
E-mail address, Y. Kitada: ykitada@ynu.ac.jp

(M. Nagura) Yokohama National University
E-mail address, M. Nagura: maki@ynu.ac.jp